From:	Clayton E. Hamilton
To:	Whitney Elizabeth Smith
Cc:	Justin Paul Maland; Joseph N. Lindquist; Matt Trantham; Chris Freet; Michelle Lea Glover; Andrew Michael Silvia; Todd Semple
Subject:	Video Board RFP 5272015
Date:	Friday, July 03, 2015 4:07:52 PM
Attachments:	Video Board Comparisons (2015 RFP).xlsx

Whitney: the evaluation committee has completed the review and evaluation of proposals for the Video Board RFP 5272015.

Proposals were received from the following vendors:

1. Panasonic
2. ANC Sports
3. YESCO
4. LSI Industries
5. Daktronics

The evaluation committee met on Wednesday, June 24th to begin the review of the proposals. The committee determined that on campus presentations should be held with each vendor to review the proposals and seek clarification of information presented in each proposal. Presentations were held with each vendor on Monday, June 29th. The committee decided to request best and final offers from each vendor. The BAFO requests were sent to each vendor from the Purchasing Office on Monday, June 29th.

Best and final offers were received from each of the vendors on Thursday, July 2nd. The committee reviewed these final offers, and each committee member completed the evaluation forms and submitted to the chair of the committee. Attached is a summary of the evaluations.

The committee recommends the award of the Video Board RFP 5272015 to Daktronics. Evaluation forms and supporting documentation are being forwarded to your office.

Thank you for your assistance with this process.

CLAYTON HAMILTON
Senior Associate Athletic Director - CFO

University of Arkansas Department of Athletics
Broyles Athletics Center
Post Office Box 7777
Fayetteville, AR 72702

Office: 479-575-4548
Fax: 479-575-4859
E-Mail: claytonh@uark.edu
Twitter: @ArkRazorbacks
Web: www.ArkansasRazorbacks.com

Whitney Smith 7/06/15

	Clayton Hamilton	Matt Trantham	Chris Freet	Justin Maland	Michelle Glover	Joseph Lidquist	Andrew Silvia	Average
ANC								
Criteria A: Complete/Thorough Proposal	20.0	28.0	30.0	26.0	24.0	8.0	15.0	
Criteria B: Agency History and Past Performances	15.0	18.0	10.0	12.0	12.0	5.0	10.0	
Criteria C: Project Timeline	5.0	5.0	8.0	9.0	8.0	3.0	5.0	
Criteria D: Support Services	10.0	8.0	10.0	10.0	7.0	2.0	6.0	
Criteria E: Cost	26.6	26.6	26.6	26.6	26.6	26.6	26.6	
Overall Score	76.6	85.6	84.6	83.6	77.6	44.6	62.6	73.6
Daktronics								
Criteria A: Complete/Thorough Proposal	30.0	30.0	25.0	30.0	30.0	12.0	30.0	
Criteria B: Agency History and Past Performances	10.0	15.0	20.0	12.0	10.0	3.0	10.0	
Criteria C: Project Timeline	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	
Criteria D: Support Services	5.0	6.0	8.0	8.0	7.0	2.0	10.0	
Criteria E: Cost	25.3	25.3	25.3	25.3	25.3	25.3	25.3	
Overall Score	80.3	86.3	88.3	85.3	82.3	52.3	85.3	80.0
LSI								
Criteria A: Complete/Thorough Proposal	25.0	25.0	12.0	25.0	20.0	30.0	18.0	
Criteria B: Agency History and Past Performances	20.0	20.0	15.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	
Criteria C: Project Timeline	5.0	5.0	3.0	7.0	7.0	8.0	6.0	
Criteria D: Support Services	5.0	7.0	4.0	9.0	10.0	10.0	4.0	
Criteria E: Cost	23.7	23.7	23.7	23.7	23.7	23.7	23.7	
Overall Score	78.7	80.7	57.7	84.7	80.7	91.7	71.7	78.0
Panasonic								
Criteria A: Complete/Thorough Proposal	20.0	20.0	10.0	22.0	20.0	5.0	12.0	
Criteria B: Agency History and Past Performances	15.0	10.0	8.0	12.0	11.0	2.0	8.0	
Criteria C: Project Timeline	5.0	5.0	5.0	6.0	6.0	-	5.0	
Criteria D: Support Services	8.0	5.0	2.0	6.0	5.0	1.0	6.0	
Criteria E: Cost	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0	
Overall Score	78.0	70.0	55.0	76.0	72.0	38.0	61.0	64.3
Yesco								
Criteria A: Complete/Thorough Proposal	25.0	27.0	14.0	24.0	21.0	7.0	22.0	
Criteria B: Agency History and Past Performances	15.0	17.0	10.0	12.0	15.0	4.0	15.0	
Criteria C: Project Timeline	5.0	7.0	5.0	6.0	7.0	-	8.0	
Criteria D: Support Services	10.0	10.0	4.0	8.0	6.0	1.0	5.0	
Criteria E: Cost	24.0	24.0	24.0	24.0	24.0	24.0	24.0	
Overall Score	79.0	85.0	57.0	74.0	73.0	36.0	74.0	68.3

Whitney Smith 7/06/15