



321 Administration Building, Fayetteville, Arkansas 72701  
Phone: 479.575.2551 Fax: 479.575.4158

October 21, 2016

**RE: Intent to Award - RFP 609165 – Lab Information Systems (LIMS) for UASDA**

To All Respondents:

The University of Arkansas Procurement division has received the official recommendation of award from the evaluation committee elected to review the proposals requested for the above captioned RFP. The proposal due date was August 18, 2016. The University received two (2) certified responses. Upon the results of the committee evaluation, the recommendation for award extends to LabLite LLC.

Respectfully, this letter of “Intent to Award” serves as notification that contract negotiations will begin with LabLite toward the ultimate goal of a Purchase Order/Contract to be issued by the University of Arkansas.

The University of Arkansas is thankful for the attention of each respondent, and for the time and effort expended in responding to the RFP. We encourage and appreciate the participation of our vendor community. Thank you for your interest and participation.

Best Regards,

**Whitney Smith**

Procurement Coordinator  
Business Affairs – Procurement Services  
Administration Bldg, Rm 321  
1125 W. Maple  
Fayetteville, AR 72701  
P: 479-575-5158 | F: 479-575-4158  
[wsmith@uark.edu](mailto:wsmith@uark.edu) | <http://procurement.uark.edu/>

**U of A**  
**DIVISION OF AGRICULTURE**  
**RESEARCH & EXTENSION**  
*University of Arkansas System*

Soil and Agricultural Analytical Services

1366 West Altheimer Drive, University of Arkansas, Fayetteville, AR 72704  
 479-575-3909 Fax: 479-575-3975 <http://aaes.uark.edu>

October 5, 2016

The committee of five individuals reviewed and ranked proposals for a LIMS system submitted by two vendors: LabLite LLC and Indigo Technology. Each committee member considered the original proposal, supplemental information provided by request from each vendor, and information from the Zoom video conferences during which time each vendor was asked selected questions and was expected to demonstrate examples of their LIMS product and services.

The committee felt that both vendors had good products and services that would provide functional LIMS to our two laboratories. The scores of the five committee members and the overall mean score are listed below for each vendor. Based on the scoring process summarized below, the review committee unanimously recommends the LIMS bid be awarded to LabLite LLC.

Please let me know if there are any questions regarding the committee's recommendation.

Member	A. Functionality	B. Security	C. Implementation	D. History	E. Cost	Total
<b>Lablite</b>	<b>40 pt</b>	<b>10 pt</b>	<b>20 pt</b>	<b>10 pt</b>	<b>20 pt</b>	<b>100 pt</b>
1	35	10	20	10	20	95.0
2	40	8	20	10	20	98.0
3	40	8	20	10	20	98.0
4	40	10	20	10	20	100.0
5	39	8	20	10	20	99.0
Mean	38.8	8.8	20	9.2	20	98.0
<b>Indigo Technology</b>						
1	10	10	15	5	18.8	58.8
2	38	10	18	7	18.8	91.8
3	40	10	18	7	18.8	93.8
4	20	9	6	10	18.8	69.8
5	30	10	15	8	18.8	79.8
Mean	23.6	9.8	14.4	7.4	18.8	78.8

Sincerely,



Nathan Slaton

LIMS Committee Chair

Assistant Director, Agricultural Experiment Station Testing Services

Whitney Smith 10/20/2016